South African Statist. J. (2008) 42, 125–142

GENERAL

EVALUATING PERFORMANCES AT THE 2007 CRICKET WORLD CUP

G.D.I. Barr

Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Cape Town *C.G. Holdsworth* Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Cape Town *and*

B.S. Kantor

Investec Securities, Cape Town

Key words: Cricket World Cup 2007; selection criterion; strike rate.

Summary: In this paper we apply the methodology of Barr and Kantor (Barr & Kantor, 2003) to evaluate the batting and bowling performances of the cricketers in the 2007 cricket world cup. The earlier Barr and Kantor paper developed criteria for evaluating the performance of batsmen and hinted at the extension of the methodology to bowling performances as well; here we extend the methodology to bowling in a parallel way and analyse both the batting and bowling performances of the participants in the 2007 Cricket World Cup and provide a ranking of performance for a set of given risk tolerance levels. The paper then goes on to select a World cricket team based on this analysis. The methodology provides some insights into the extraordinary dominance of the Australian team in this tournament in both batting and bowling and provides some pointers as to how teams might hope to match the Australians in the future, at least strategically. The analysis implies that to match the Australians in the batting department, batsmen would have to first accept a higher risk of dismissal in return for a faster scoring rate and to match them in the bowling department they would have to accept a higher risk of conceding more runs in return for improved chances of taking wickets.

1. Introduction

The 2007 Cricket World Cup was dominated by Australia in an unprecedented way with the Australian team winning every match with ease, including a *MSC2000*:

disappointing final affected by the weather and hence determined, to some extent, by the Duckworth-Lewis (Duckworth-Lewis, 1998) system. Both the batting and bowling departments of the Australian team performed consistently at an extremely high level. Their batting was of such quality that Mike Hussey, who normally bats at number six for Australia and was rated as the number one, one-day batsman in the world before the World Cup, was rarely called upon to bat during the tournament. While the performance of each team at the world cup can be measured by that team's final position, it is not as straightforward to compare the performance of the individuals within each team. To this end we use the method of Barr and Kantor (2004) to compare the batsmen at the world cup and extend the methodology to the bowlers to provide a ranking of performance for a given risk tolerance level.

2. Overview

The methodology of Barr and Kantor (2004) considers a batsman's performance in the one-day cricket game in a two-dimensional sense by considering a "Risk-Return" analysis of a batsman's results that bears similarity to a risk-return analysis of financial assets; see Barr and Knight (1988). One dimension of measurement is the Strike Rate or the number of runs scored per ball; the other is an empirical measure of the probability (for any particular ball) of going out.

This approach allows one to define the profile and potential of a batsman playing in one-day cricket more comprehensively and with much greater subtlety than the calculation of a batting average alone. It goes on to demonstrate how the strike rate and the average may be effectively combined so as to reflect different attitudes to risk when different approaches to the

game are demanded by different circumstances. A criterion is then developed and demonstrated which combines the two measures and may be used to rank batsmen in any type of cricket. Although other approaches have been considered in the literature for modelling and describing one-day cricket performance, notably Clarke (1988) as well as Johnston, Clarke and Noble (1993) we believe the method of Barr and Kantor (2004) gives the most informative and subtle analysis of one-day cricket performance.

3. Definitions and an extension to Bowling

One crucial statistic for the batsman in the one-day limited overs game is the number of runs scored *per 100 balls faced* and is known as the *strike rate*. Since time is at a premium in the one-day game, particularly if weather may b e a factor, this measure has become the essential measure of achievement in the one-day game for a batsman.

Strike Rate =
$$100^* \frac{Runs\ Scored}{Balls\ Faced}$$

However, the more a batsman attempts to increase the strike rate (the number of runs per 100 balls), the higher will be the batsman's probability of getting out. In cricket, as in most endeavours, any attempted improvement in the expected return (strike rate) will be associated with higher risk (the probability of being dismissed off any one ball).

$$\%P(out) = 100^* \frac{Number of times dismissed}{Balls Faced}$$

In this paper we will give a graphical two-dimensional representation of the various batsmen's performance in the World Cup which we think is more subtle than any one-dimensional measure. However, this representation also captures

and explicitly includes the simple batting average, since:

 $\frac{Strike \; Rate}{Empirical \; Probability \; of \; getting \; out} = Batting \; Average$

Hence, rays from the origin represent sets of batsmen with equal batting averages and any ray from the origin will thus represent loci of batsmen with the same batting average. Thus the two-dimensional (Strike Rate, P(out)) representation simultaneously captures three very important characteristics of a batsman's performance viz. Strike Rate, (Empirical) probability of getting out and Batting Average.

Such a risk-return approach to the game of cricket is not limited to an analysis of batting. Bowling can be analysed in a parallel way with bowling strike rate (wickets/ball) on the vertical axis, (which constitutes an empirical measure of the probability of a wicket on any particular ball) and the number of runs conceded per ball (bowler's economy rate) on the horizontal axis.

 $Wickets \ per \ ball = \frac{Number \ of \ wickets \ taken}{Balls \ bowled}$ $Bowler's \ Economy \ Rate = \frac{Runs \ conceded}{Balls \ Bowled}$

In a parallel way to the batting representation, any ray from the origin is a ray of constant number of wickets per run (intrinsic quality or (inverse of) bowler's average).

It is clear that the larger the sample considered, the more reliable will be the estimates. Thus in the case of batting it seems sensible to only include batsmen who have scored some reasonable number of runs and similarly, in the case of bowlers to only include bowlers who have taken some minimum number of wickets. Hence, in the analysis below, we first ranked the batsmen according to their total number of runs scored and the bowlers in terms of the number of

wickets taken. In this way we excluded batsmen who were comparatively low run scorers, and bowlers who picked up only a few wickets.

Moreover, in the analysis we consider only the test playing nations. There is such a divergence of skill between the front-line test playing nations and those who do not play test cricket that inclusion of the matches against the minnows of the cricket world might seriously distort the results.

4. The Selection criterion

There are essentially two factors that contribute to the suitability of a one-day batsman, namely the batting average (underlying quality) and, for any given batting average, the strike rate. Similarly there are two factors that contribute towards the suitability of a one-day bowler, namely the number of wickets per run scored (intrinsic quality) and for any given measure of this, the numbers of wickets taken per ball (bowler's strike rate).

One may thus compute a criterion which blends the batting average (bowler's average) represented by the gradient of the ray, namely, $\frac{y}{x}$ and the *rate* of scoring (rate of taking wickets) or strike rate, y.

One such criterion could be a weighted product of these two factors, namely

$$y^{\alpha} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \frac{y}{x^{1-\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ is a measure of the balance between batting/bowling average and strike rate.

It will generally be appropriate to compute the product, rather than the sum, of these two factors since this product will ensure that each factor makes a proportional, rather than additive, contribution to the criterion, which is the natural way of combining the two factors. In addition, by varying α from

0 through to 1, one may blend the importance of batting or bowling strike rate with the importance of average score or wickets taken per run. Hence, putting $\alpha = 0$ puts no emphasis on the speed of scoring or taking wickets, whilst putting $\alpha = 1$ puts no emphasis on average score or wickets taken per run. These two extremes could be seen as corresponding, on the one hand, to a timeless cricket match where speed of scoring is immaterial (or equivalently, where the rate of wicket taking was of less importance) and, on the other, to the last remaining overs of an ODI when the speed of scoring is paramount (or equivalently where the rate of taking wickets became increasingly important).

An initial conjecture for the criterion is to put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and weight the two attributes equally. In this case, of an equally weighted combination, we may note that plotting curves of the form $y = cx^{\frac{1}{2}}$ will yield criterion iso-quants of equal suitability as the constant c varies (given the equally weighted function). Thus maximizing equation (1), for some suitable α , is equivalent to selecting batsmen or bowlers according to the highest isoquant on which they lie.

5. The 2007 World Cup

5.1 The tournament structure

We consider the performance of the top 20 run scorers in the 2007 World Cup held in the West Indies. The tournament was run in three stages. The 16 teams attending the World Cup were split into four groups of four teams, with each group being hosted by one particular venue. Each team in each group played against all the other members of their group and were allotted two points for a win, one for a tie and 0 points for a loss. The top two teams in each group then progressed to the Super Eight stage, carrying with them the points obtained against the other progressing member of their group. Thus,

for example, Australia, having beaten South Africa and everyone else in Group A, took two points through while South Africa, who lost to Australia but beat everyone else in Group A took no points through. The following table lists the venue and constituents of each group. The number in brackets is the ODI ranking of the side as of April 2005.

131

Group A	Group B (Trinidad &	Group C	Group D
(St. Kitts & Nevis)	Tobago)	(St. Lucia)	(Jamaica)
Australia (1)	Sri Lanka (2)	New Zealand (3)	Pakistan (4)
South Africa (5)	India (8)	England (7)	West Indies (6)
Scotland	Bangladesh (11)	Kenya (10)	Zimbabwe (9)
Netherlands	Bermuda	Canada	Ireland

Australia and South Africa, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh, New Zealand and England and West Indies and Ireland progressed to the Super Eight stage. Both India and Pakistan, who were expected to progress, suffered defeats to lower ranked sides, with Pakistan infamously losing to Ireland, and India succumbing to Bangladesh. The Super Eight stage was a round robin where each team played each of the other qualifying teams that they had not yet faced. The top four sides from this round progressed to the semi-finals, where the top placed team from the Super Eight stage played the fourth placed team and the second placed team faced the third placed team. The following table lists the constituents of the Super Eight Stage with their matches played (MP), matches

won (W), matches lost (L), points obtained (Pts) and net run rate (NRR) from the stage

Team	MP	W	L	Pts	NRR				
AUS	7	7	0	14	2.4				
<u>SRI</u>	7	5	2	10	1.48				
NZL	7	5	2	10	0.25				
RSA	7	7 4 3 8							
ENG	7	3	4	6	-0.39				
WIN	7	2	5	4	-0.57				
BAN	7	1	6	2	-1.51				
IRE	7	1	6	2	-1.73				

Australia, Sri Lanka, New Zealand and South Africa progressed to the semi-finals with Sri Lanka beating New Zealand by 81 runs in Jamaica on 24 April and Australia defeating South Africa by 7 wickets on 25 April in St. Lucia. The final was played between Australia and Sri Lanka in Barbados on 28 April with Australia reclaiming the trophy in a bizarre light-affected ending to the match.

The advantage of analysing the data from such a tournament is that each of the top teams played each of the other top teams at least once at a predefined set of locations over a relatively short period of time. Hence there is some standardisation of the myriad of factors that go towards influencing the outcome of a cricket match and the performance of the players. Notwithstanding this, the sample remains relatively small in a statistical context and all results have to be treated with caution as they relate primarily to the conditions that pertained to the 2007 cricket World Cup.

132

5.2 An Analysis of the 2007 World Cup performances - Batting

133

We first list the performance statistics of the batsmen in Tables 1 and 2, below; Table 1 gives the results for all the matches while Table 2 excluded matches with non-Test playing nations. The rationale for Table 2 is that the competition was of some sub-minimum strength and excludes outlying performances against weak opposition. We give the innings played, number of times not out, the balls faced, the batting average, the strike rate, the (empirical estimate of) P(out), the suitability criterion (1) ($\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$) with the corresponding ranking and the suitability criterion (1) ($\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$) with the corresponding ranking, and the difference in the rankings. As mentioned above, the criterion with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ is an equal blend of ODI and first class batting prowess; as α increases, ODI prowess in the form of strike rate becomes increasingly heavily weighted at the expense of the ability to consistently amass large scores. The rankings are fairly consistent for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ as indicated in the column of ranking differences.

									1	лау	/Cu	•									
Rank	điff	1	4	2	ė	ė.	2	4	-1	4	1-	Ļ.	2	3	0	0	ė	0	0	0	-2
Rank	$O_{k} = 0.75$	1	11	3	6	7	00	5	12	4	2	14	10	13	15	17	6	19	20	18	16
Criterion	$O_{k} = 0.75$	93.24	78.26	87.45	83.37	83.13	81.62	84.42	73.71	86.56	92.97	68.65	78.57	70.86	67.13	62.15	81.41	54.62	53.31	59.76	66.37
Rank	$Q_{L}^{2} = 0.5$	2	7	5	3	4	10	9	11	8	1	13	12	16	15	17	Ó	19	20	18	14
Criterion	$Q_{\rm c} = 0.5$	86.03	71.98	80.16	83.30	82.36	67.76	68.60	67.06	71.71	91.00	60.45	61.24	58.18	58.20	51.32	72.29	48.97	42.54	50.99	58.74
P(out)		1.38%	1.40%	1.42%	1.00%	1.04%	2.11%	2.29%	1.46%	2.12%	1.09%	1.66%	2.71%	2.20%	1.77%	2.15%	1.61%	1.55%	2.47%	1.89%	1.63%
Strike	rate	101.1	85.1	95.4	83.4	83.9	98.3	103.9	81.0	104.5	95.0	78.0	100.8	86.3	77.4	75.3	91.7	60.9	66.8	70.0	75.0
Balls	faced	652	644	505	598	578	475	436	548	424	459	481	369	409	452	465	373	517	446	424	368
Average		73.2	60.9	67.4	83.2	80.8	46.7	45.3	55.5	49.2	87.2	46.9	37.2	39.2	43.8	35.0	57.0	39.4	27.1	37.1	46.0
Not	tuo	1	2	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	4	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	3
Innings		10	Π	6	6	6	11	11	6	10	6	6	10	10	10	Ξ	~	6	11	00	6
Total	sun	629	548	539	499	485	467	453	444	443	436	375	372	353	350	350	342	315	298	297	276
Country		sny	SL	Aus	ZN	SA	SL	Aus	Eng	SA	sny	IM	SA	ZN	SL	SL	SA	IM	TS	ZN	Eng
Name		M.L. Hayden	D.P.M.D. Jayawardene	R.T. Ponting	S.B. Styris	J.H. Kallis	S.T. Jayasuriya	A.C. Gilchrist	K.P. Pietersen	G.C. Smith	M.J. Clarke	R.R. Sarwan	A.B. de Villiers	S.P. Fleming	L.P.C. Silva	K. C. Sangakkara	H.H. Gibbs	S. Chanderpaul	W.U. Tharanga	P.G. Fulton	P.D. Collingwood

 Table 1. Results for the top 20 run-scoring batsmen for all the matches played.

Ĩ -
$\alpha = 0.75$ α 98.24
$\frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.5$
OK = 0.5 92.28
1.28%
rate 104 6
faced 545
81.4
0ut 8
nuns 570 8
Aus

 Table 2. Results for the top 20 run-scoring batsmen for all the matches played



Figure 1. The two-dimensional plot for batting (in the case where only matches between test-playing nations are considered)

In the figure above, two isoquants have been drawn in for the "top" batsmen according to the criterion with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ respectively. Pictorially, it is seen that selection is a simple North-West type rule.

Of the top 20 scorers amongst the test-playing nation matches, Adam Gilchrist had the highest Strike Rate and Scott Styris had the lowest empirical probability of going out. As discussed above, an appropriate criterion would combine a high strike rate with a low empirical probability of going out according to a suitable alpha. Using an alpha of 0.5 Styris, Hayden and Clark would be adjudged the best (in that order); however, particularly given the

high scores that are now common in one-day internationals it would not be unreasonable to place strike rate as more important than average. Hence an alpha of 0.75 may be more appropriate; this, in fact, would yield the same batsmen, but in the order Hayden, Styris and Clark. In Table 3 below we show the top rated batsman for different alphas. Only three batsmen qualify to be rated as the best for any given alpha value. Of these Scott Styris is shown to have been the best defensive batsman while Adam Gilchrist has been the most aggressive. Matthew Hayden has managed to protect his wicket while being aggressive and is the top rated batsman for the remaining alpha values.

137

Table 3. Top batsman for different alphas

Alpha	Best batsman
0.000-0.635	S.B. Styris
0.635–0.945	M.L. Hayden
0.945-1.000	A.C. Gilchrist

5.3 An Analysis of the 2007 World Cup performances - Bowling

A parallel analysis is carried out for the bowlers in the World Cup team.

BARR,	, HOL	DSW	ORTH	&	KAN	JTOR
-------	-------	------------	------	---	-----	-------------

		-																			
Rank	diff.	0	0	1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	-2	1	0	3	-2	0	0	2	1	÷	-1
Rank	$O_{k} = 0.75$	1	3	5	4	2	9	20	6	10	13	17	8	12	14	16	7	11	19	15	18
Criterion	OL = 0.75	0.058	0:050	0.046	0.047	0.054	0.042	0.029	0.037	0.036	0.033	0.029	0.037	0.034	0.032	0.031	0.042	0.035	0.029	0.031	0.029
Rank	$O_{k} = 0.5$	1	3	6	4	2	5	19	6	10	11	18	00	15	12	16	7	13	20	14	17
Criterion	$O_{\rm c} = 0.5$	0.063	0.055	0.047	0.052	0.057	0.048	0.031	0.040	0.037	0.036	0.032	0.044	0.035	0.036	0.033	0.046	0.035	0.031	0.035	0.033
Economy	rate	4.41	4.14	5.52	4.00	4.86	3.60	4.57	4.31	5.46	4.40	4.52	3.05	5.56	3.68	4.66	4.14	5.46	5.06	3.97	4.03
Wickets/	ball	5.36%	4.53%	4.54%	4.23%	5.14%	3.72%	2.73%	3.38%	3.54%	3.07%	2.75%	3.11%	3.34%	2.79%	2.94%	3.82%	3.37%	2.81%	2.84%	2.67%
Overs		80.5	84.4	84.3	82.5	58.2	71.4	97.4	69	66	76	85	69.4	64.5	77.4	73.4	52.2	59.2	65.2	58.4	62.3
Ave		13.7	15.3	20.3	15.8	15.8	16.1	27.9	21.3	25.8	23.9	27.5	16.4	27.8	22.0	26.5	18.1	27.0	30.1	23.3	25.2
Mat		11	10	11	11	••	10	10	00	80	6	9	00	6	10	6	9	6	6	6	6
Runs		357	351	467	332	284	258	447	298	361	335	385	213	361	286	344	217	324	331	233	252
Wkts		26	23	23	21	18	16	16	14	14	14	14	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	10	10
Country		Aus	SL	Aus	Aus	SL	Aus	ZN	Eng	SA	SA	IM	ZN	IM	S	Ban	SA	Ire	ZN	Ire	ZN
Name		G.D. McGrath	M. Muralitharan	S.W. Tait	G.B. Hogg	S.L. Malinga	N.W. Bracken	D.L. Vettori	A. Flintoff	C.K. Langeveldt	A.J. Hall	D.B. Powell	S.E. Bond	D.J. Bravo	W.P.U.J.C. Vaas	Abdur Razzak	A. Nel	W.B. Rankin	J.E.C. Franklin	W.K. McCallan	J.D.P. Oram

 Table 4. Results for the top 20 wicket-taking bowlers for all the matches played

					_	_	_	_	_					_	_					_	_			_
Rank	diff	0	I-	2	2	0	-2	-1	-2	-1	0	-2	-2	1	1	1	2	0	-2	-2	4	0	0	0
Rank	$O_{k} = 0.75$	1	9	4	2	7	5	3	13	11	19	10	15	8	14	6	12	17	20	18	16	21	22	23
Criterion	$O \mathcal{K} = 0.75$	0.053	0.043	0.045	0.049	0.042	0.045	0.047	0.031	0.032	0.027	0.035	0.029	0.037	0.030	0.035	0.031	0.028	0.027	0.028	0.029	0.023	0.020	0.018
Rank	$Q_{\rm c} = 0.5$	1	5	9	4	7	3	2	11	12	19	8	13	6	15	10	14	17	18	16	20	21	22	23
Criterion	$O_{k} = 0.5$	0.055	0.047	0.046	0.051	0.045	0.051	0.051	0.034	0.033	0.029	0.041	0.032	0.040	0.031	0.037	0.031	0.031	0.029	0.031	0.027	0.025	0.023	0.019
Economy	rate	4.90	4.16	5.57	5.14	4.32	3.63	4.27	3.89	5.64	4.94	3.11	4.55	4.31	5.68	5.26	5.81	4.24	4.43	4.01	8.20	4.54	3.39	4.67
Wickets/	ball	5.01%	3.88%	4.46%	4.69%	3.86%	3.98%	4.32%	2.78%	3.17%	3.62%	2.94%	2.75%	3.37%	3.00%	3.42%	3.10%	2.57%	2.52%	2.52%	3.17%	2.19%	1.76%	1.69%
Overs		59.9	73	63.3	53.2	64.5	58.4	46.2	66	57.5	70	56.4	60.4	44.3	50	39	43	51.5	53	46.2	36.5	53.2	66.2	69
Ave		16.3	17.9	20.8	18.3	18.7	15.2	16.5	23.4	29.6	31.5	17.6	27.6	21.3	31.6	25.6	31.3	27.5	29.4	26.6	43.1	34.6	32.1	46.0
Mat		00	00	00	7	00	00	5	00	00	7	9	7	6	7	00	5	6	6	4	9	7	6	7
Runs		293	304	354	274	280	213	198	257	326	346	176	276	192	284	205	250	220	235	186	302	242	225	322
Wkts		18	17	17	15	15	14	12	11	11	11	10	10	9	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
Country		Aus	SL	Aus	SL	Aus	Aus	SA	SL	IM	IM	ZN	Ban	ZN	NZ	ZN	SA	Eng	SA	Eng	SL	Ban	Ban	ZN
Name		G.D. McGrath	M. Muralitharan	S.W. Tait	S.L. Malinga	G.B. Hogg	N.W. Bracken	A. Nel	W.P.U.J.C. Vaas	D.J. Bravo	D.B. Powell	S.E. B ond	Abdur Razzak	J.D.P. Oram	J.E.C. Franklin	S.B. Styris	C.K.Langeveldt	A. Flintoff	A.J. Hall	S.I. Mahmood	S.T. Jayasuriya	Mohammad Rafique	Syed Rasel	D.L. Vettori

Table 5. Results for the top 20 wicket-taking bowlers for the matches played between test-playing nations

BARR, HOLDSWORTH & KANTOR



Figure 2. The two-dimensional plot for bowling (in the case where only matches between test-playing nations are considered)

In Figure 2 above, in a parallel way to that for batting, two isoquants have been drawn in for the "top" bowlers according to the criterion with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ respectively. Pictorially, it is seen that selection is a simple North-West type rule.

Of the top 20 wicket takers amongst the test-playing nation matches, Glen McGrath took the highest number of wickets per ball and Shane Bond conceded the lowest number of runs per ball. As discussed above, an appropriate criterion would combine a high number of wickets per ball with

a low number of runs per ball according to a suitable alpha. Using an alpha of 0.5 McGrath, Nel and Bracken would be adjudged the best in that order; again, however, in a parallel way to the batting analysis, because of the importance of having bowlers who can strike quickly in the one-day game it may be more reasonable to consider that wickets per ball is more important than bowling average. On this basis, an alpha of 0.75 may be more appropriate; this would yield McGrath, Malinga and Nel. The extent of McGrath's dominance relative to the other bowlers is shown below. McGrath is not the top-rated bowler for only the most conservative alpha values, for which another Australian, Bracken, is top rated.

Table 6. Top bowler for different alpha values

Alpha	Best bowler
0.000-0.226	N.W. Bracken
0.226-1.000	G.D. McGrath

6. Selecting a team for a one-day World XI

Taking into account the relative robustness of the selection procedure across the values of α considered, if we were to select a team for a one-day World eleven on the basis of the 2007 World Cup performance, our selection would probably look something like Styris, Hayden, Clarke, Ponting, Gibbs, Gilchrist, McGrath, Bracken, Nel, Malinga, Tait. It is extraordinary the extent to which the Australians dominated the tournament and this is reflected in the number of Australians in a world team. The Australians dominated in all departments indicating the extraordinary depth of talent which the Australians were able to bring in both batting and bowling.

7. Conclusion

This paper shows how the methods of Barr and Kantor (2004), which were established for the assessment of batting performance, can be easily extended to the analysis of bowling performance. Using these tools of analysis, the performance of the teams competing in the 2007 World Cup were analysed from both a bowling and batting perspective. The analysis demonstrates the extraordinary talent of an Australian team which dominated the tournament and justifiably won it comfortably.

References

- BARR, G.D.I. & KANTOR, B.S. (2004). A criterion for comparing and selecting batsmen in limited overs cricket. *JORS* 55, 1266–1274.
- DUCKWORTH, F.C. & LEWIS, A.J. (1998). A fair method of resetting the target in interrupted one-day cricket matches. *JORS*, vol. **49**(3), 220–227.
- BARR, G.D.I. & KNIGHT, R.F. (1988). Some geometrical characteristics of the risk return plane. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 15(3), 437–445.
- CLARKE, Stephen R. (1988). Dynamic Programming in one day cricket Optimal scoring rates. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, **39**(4), 331–337.
- JOHNSTON, Mark I., CLARKE, Stephen R. & NOBLE, David H. (1993). Assessing player performance in one day cricket using Dynamic Programming. Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research, 10(1), 45– 55.

Manuscript received, 2007.09.18, revised, 2007.10.24, accepted, 2008.01.21.